Case: 13-1021 Document: 3 Page: 1 Filed: 10/19/2012

AO 149 (6/88)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT Appeal Information Sheet

United States District Court for the Northern District of California

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.-v-GOOGLE INC.

Plaintiff(s)

Defendant(s)

(List all parties. Use asterisk to indicate dismissed or withdrawn parties. Use separate sheet if needed. Explain any discrepancy with caption used on judgment, order or opinion.)

Type of case: Patent

Docket No. CV 10-03561 WHA

Date of Judgment/Order: 9/4/2012 Docket 1242

Cross or related appeal?

Date of Notice of Appeal: October 4, 2012 Docket Entry 1247

Appellant is: () Plaintiff (X) Defendant () Other (explain

DOCKET FEE STATUS:

(X) Paid () Not Paid Billed On:

U.S. Appeal? Yes () No (X)

In forma pauperis?

() Granted () Denied () Revoked () Pending (x) Never requested

COUNSEL

(List name, firm, address and telephone of lead counsel for each party. Indicate party represented.

See Docket Sheet

COURT REPORTER: See Docket Sheet

IMPORTANT: Attach copy of opinion or order appealed from. Forward together with copy of notice of appeal and certified docket entries.

KING & SPALDING LLP KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 1 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279 ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 fzimmer@kslaw.com 2 rvannest@kvn.com CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325 csabnis@kslaw.com 3 canderson@kvn.com 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424 San Francisco, CA 94105 dpurcell@kvn.com 4 Tel: 415.318.1200 633 Battery Street Fax: 415.318.1300 5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400 415 397 7188 6 Facsimile: IAN C. BALLON - #141819 7 KING & SPALDING LLP ballon@gtlaw.com SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER HEATHER MEEKER - #172148 8 (Pro Hac Vice) meekerh@gtlaw.com sweingaertner@kslaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 9 ROBERT F. PERRY rperry@kslaw.com 1900 University Avenue BRUCE W. BABER (Pro Hac Vice) East Palo Alto, CA 94303 10 Tel: 650.328.8500 1185 Avenue of the Americas Fax: 650.328.8508 New York, NY 10036 11 Tel: 212.556.2100 Fax: 212.556.2222 12 13 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC. 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 17 18 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL 19 Plaintiff, Courtroom 8, 19th Floor 20 Dept.: v. Hon, William Alsup Judge: 21 GOOGLE INC., Defendant. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Document: 3 Page: 2

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document1247 Filed10/04/12 Page1 of 2

Filed: 10/19/2012

Case: 13-1021

Case: 13-1021 Document: 3 Page: 3 Filed: 10/19/2012 Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document1247 Filed10/04/12 Page2 of 2 Notice is hereby given that Defendant, Google Inc. (hereinafter "Google"), appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Order Denying Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and New Trial (Dkt. No. 1242) entered in this action on September 4, 2012. Dated: October 4, 2012 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP /s/ Steven A. Hirsch STEVEN A. HIRSCH By: Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

Case No. 3:10-CV-03561 WHA

697861.01

Case: 13-1021

Document: 3

Page: 4

Filed: 10/19/2012

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document1242 Filed09/04/12 Page1 of 1

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 10-03561 WHA

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW AND NEW TRIAL

Defendant Google Inc. moves for judgment as a matter of law under Rule 50(b), or in the alternative, for a new trial under Rule 59, on copyright issues regarding the rangeCheck function and decompiled files. Google's arguments are repetitive of its Rule 50(a) motion and rely on the same evidence. For reasons stated in the prior orders (Dkt. Nos. 1119, 1123), Google's motion is **DENIED**.

The Court takes this opportunity to state that it will take no further action regarding the subject of payments by the litigants to commentators and journalists and reassures both sides that no commentary has in any way influenced the Court's orders and ruling herein save and except for any treatise or article expressly cited in an order or ruling.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 4, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE